

# Field Study

# Prevalence and risk factors of needle stick and sharp injury among tertiary hospital workers, Vientiane, Lao PDR

Chieko Matsubara<sup>1,2</sup>, Kayako Sakisaka<sup>2,3</sup>, Vanphanom Sychareun<sup>4</sup>, Alongkone Phensavanh<sup>4</sup> and Moazzam Ali<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Japan, <sup>3</sup>Teikyo University Graduate School of Public Health, Japan, <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Postgraduate Studies, University of Health Sciences, Lao PDR and <sup>5</sup>Reproductive Health and Research, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Abstract: Objectives: Health care workers (HCWs) face risks of needle stick and sharp injuries (NSIs). Most NSIs occur in developing countries, however, no epidemiological study on NSIs is publicly available in Lao PDR. The objective of this study is to identify the prevalence and risk factors of NSIs among HCWs in Lao PDR. Methods: This cross-sectional study was designed to determine the prevalence and risk factors of NSIs among four tertiary hospitals in Vientiane, Lao People's Democratic Republic. Results: Six months before the survey, 11.4% (106/932) of hospital staff had experienced NSIs, while 42.1% did in their entire career. Key protective factors of NSIs among nurses included adequate availability of needles, syringes, and sharp equipment (p = 0.042; odds ratio [OR], 0.47) and attendance to educational or refresher courses on safety regarding NSIs (p = 0.038); OR, 0.50). As an on-site practice, single-handed recapping was prevalent (46.7%, 257/550) among participants. Conclusions: The result showed that high rates of NSIs persist among HCWs. The findings of this research call for comprehensive health and injection safety programs for HCWs involved in clinical practice.

(J Occup Health 2017; 59: 581-585) doi: 10.1539/joh.17-0084-FS

**Key words:** Health Care Workers, Lao PDR, Needle stick and sharp injury, NSI, Occupational health, Tertiary hospital

Received March 31, 2017; Accepted August 4, 2017 Published online in J-STAGE September 13, 2017

Correspondence to: C. Matsubara, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, 1-21-1 Toyama, Shinjyuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8655, Japan (e-mail: c-matsubara@it.ncgm.go.jp)

#### Introduction

Transmission of blood borne pathogens by means of unsafe injection practices is drawing attention, especially in developing countries<sup>1)</sup>. Injections are one of the most common health care procedures, with some 16 billion injections administered worldwide each year. Most injections (90%) are given for therapeutic purposes, and only 5% are given for immunization<sup>1)</sup>. Health care workers (HCWs) face occupational risks of needle stick and sharp injuries (NSIs), which are potentially occupationally acquired viral infections such as hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)<sup>2-7)</sup>. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that approximately 40% of HBV and HCV infections and 2.5% of HIV infections among healthcare workers around the world are due to NSIs<sup>8)</sup>.

Safety-engineered equipment and better management of working conditions have reduced NSIs<sup>9-12)</sup>. However, low budget allocations to health sectors, especially in developing countries, predispose HCWs to inadequate manpower and safety and protective equipment shortages that comprise poor and hazardous working environments<sup>11,13,14)</sup>. The WHO estimated that >90% of NSI-related infections occur in developing countries<sup>8)</sup>.

The Lao People's Democratic Republic (Lao PDR) is a landlocked country in Southeast Asia bordered by Vietnam, China, Myanmar, Cambodia, and Thailand. The Lao PDR government developed the National Poverty Eradication Program in 2003. As in many other developing countries, Lao PDR spent only 1.5% of its gross domestic product on health in 2006. A previous study warned that newly introduced safety syringes posed infectious hazards to healthcare workers and community residents since appropriate waste disposal systems were not sufficiently

582 J Occup Health, Vol. 59, 2017

laid out<sup>15)</sup>. Anecdotal evidence suggested that poor injection practices were rampant; however, no epidemiological study on NSIs is publicly available in Lao PDR. The objective of this study is to identify the prevalence and risk factors of NSIs among hospital staff in Lao PDR.

### Methods

Study site

A cross-sectional study was conducted at four of six tertiary hospitals in Vientiane Capital, Lao PDR: Mahosot Hospital, Sethathirath Hospital, Friendship Hospital, and Mother & Children Hospital. Two facilities, a military and police hospitals, were not able to participate in this study. Mahosot Hospital, Sethathirath Hospital, and Friendship Hospital provides emergency medicine and general practice with 450, 220 and 250 of hospital beds, respectively <sup>16)</sup>. The annual number of out-patients, emergency patients, and in-patients of these hospitals was respectively (414,486: 55,264: 18,982), (66,404: 13,146: 16,258) and (129,331; 13,114; 42,471) in this order in 2013 <sup>16)</sup>. Maternal and child health service is provided by all of the four hospitals, however, mainly provided by Mother & Children Hospital <sup>16)</sup>.

In HCWs working for public sectors in entire Lao PDR were 1,211 medical doctors, 1,449 auxiliary doctors, 125 advanced level nurses, 1,008 mid-level nurses, and 3,835 primary level nurses in 2010<sup>17)</sup>. There were 90 medical doctors and 118 nurses in Sethathirath Hospital in 2002<sup>18)</sup>.

## Data collection

Data were collected from May to June 2006 through interviews. We invited all full-time equivalents and sub-official hospital staff members in the four hospitals, including non-medical staff members such as cleaners, to participate. Interns and volunteers were not included because their total numbers were not available.

The questionnaire addressed the following: 1) sociodemographic characteristics; 2) participants' injection practices; and 3) experience/incidents of NSIs. Participants' injection practices were based on a published questionnaire of WHO, 'Injection Practices: Rapid Assessment and Response Guide,' which was applied in more than 20 countries<sup>19)</sup>.

Five native Lao interviewers were trained to conduct the interviews, which was supervised by the principal author. Before the interviews, the interviewers individually informed participants of the study objectives and ensured their confidentiality. Subjects who agreed and willingly provided written informed consent participated in this study. The study obtained ethics approval from the Research Ethics Committees at the University of Laos and Graduate School of Medicine, the University of Tokyo.

Data analysis

Data were analyzed with IBM SPSS Statistics 21. NSIs in the past 6 months were the dependent variable in this study. A logistic regression was run with 12 independent variables to predict the risk factors of NSI(s) among nurses who administered injections since they were the largest occupational group. Independent variables included participants' gender, age (<37, ≥37 years, work career ( $<10, \ge 10$  years), awareness to universal precaution, attendance to educational class about NSIs, familiar with NSIs reporting system, written protocol at worksite, adequate number of needles, syringes & sharp equipment at worksite, adequate protective equipment at worksite (gloves, masks, and gowns), working hours per week (<64, ≥64 hours), work night shifts, number of injections per week (<30, ≥30 injections), recapping needles after injection. Among the nurses, 86.9% (406/467) were included in the regression analysis. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant in this study.

#### Results

The total study population was 932 and the response rate was 71.1%. Among the total study population, 467 participants were nurses. The occurrence of NSIs was 42.1% over the hospital staff members' entire careers.

The occurrence of NSIs in the past 6 months was 11.4% (physicians 7.7% (8/104); surgeons 24.5% (13/53); dentists 15.8% (3/19); nurses 12.8% (60/467); lab workers 12.5% (9/77); acupuncturists 60.0% (3/5); other healthcare specialist 1.8% (1/56); cleaners 15.0% (6/69); administrators 0% (0/82). NSIs occurred most often in surgeons, dentists, and cleaners. The acupuncturists also had high prevalence but the sample size was only 5, but still an aspect that need attention. Even though non-healthcare staff, 15% of cleaners experienced NSIs. The time zone during which NSIs happened in the past 6 months were mostly 8:00 to 12:00 hours (41.9%), then 12:00 to 16:00 hours (31.4%), 16:00 to 20:00 hours (11.4%) and 20:00 to 8:00 hours (15.2%), respectively.

In total, 106 NSIs were found in this study and they were caused by percutaneous injections / procedures (17.9%), suturing needles (17.0%), intravenous line insertion (17.0%), recapping needles (13.2%), disposal (10.4%), and others (24.5%) (data not shown in tables). Among those participants who administered injections, 46.7% (257/550) answered that they recapped needles using one hand, 48.9% (269/550) using both hands.

Univariate analysis showed a significant association between inadequate number of needles, syringes, and sharp equipment at the worksite (p = 0.009) and NSIs in the past 6 months as shown in Table 1.

The logistic regression analysis by backward elimination revealed that risk factors of NSI among nurses included the provision of adequate number of needles, syr-

**Table 1.** Univariate analysis of needle stick and sharps injuries (NSIs) in the past 6 months among hospital staff at four tertiary hospitals in Vientiane, Lao PDR (2006 study) (n=932)

	NSIs in the past 6 months						
	Yes (%)	No (%)					
	106 (11.4)	826 (88.6)	<i>p</i> -value				
Gender							
Male	20 (9.8)	185 (90.2)	0.409				
Female	86 (11.8)	641 (88.2)	0.107				
	Age (years) (Median, 37; Range, 18-70)						
<37	46 (10.3)	401 (89.7)	0.318				
≥37	60 (12.4)	425 (87.6)	0.510				
	, ,	, ,					
Work career (years			0.661				
<10	49 (10.7)	407 (89.3)	0.661				
	≥10 55 (11.7) 417 (88.3)						
Awareness to Univ	_		0.674				
Yes	89 (11.2)	707 (88.8)	0.654				
No	17 (12.5)	119 (87.5)					
Attendance to educational class about NSIs							
Yes	26 (9.9)	236 (90.1)	0.383				
No	80 (11.9)	590 (88.1)					
Familiar with NSIs reporting system							
Yes	70 (12.1)	508 (87.9)	0.311				
No	35 (9.9)	317 (90.1)					
Written protocol at	worksite						
Yes	63 (11.4)	489 (88.6)	0.963				
No	43 (11.3)	337 (88.7)					
Adequate number		` ′	ns equip-				
ment at worksite	, -,-	8,	r - q r				
Yes	84 (10.3)	729 (89.7)	0.009				
No	22 (18.5)	97 (81.5)					
Adequate protective equipment at worksite (gloves, masks							
and gowns)							
Yes	39 (11.9)	288 (88.1)	0.645				
No	66 (10.9)	538 (89.1)	0.043				
		, ,	ione				
Questions only for participants who deliver injections Working hours per week (Median, 64; Range 30-132)							
		-					
<64	40 (13.3)	260 (86.7)	0.355				
≥64	34 (16.3)	175 (83.7)					
Work night shifts	50 (1.4.5)	240 (05.5)	0.006				
Yes	59 (14.5)	348 (85.5)	0.986				
No	15 (14.6)	88 (85.4)					
Number of injection	ons per week (	(Median, 20; R	ange 1 to				
1,000)							
<30	38 (13.6)	242 (86.4)	0.481				
≥30	36 (15.8)	192 (84.2)					
Safety waste box at	the site of inje	ection					
Yes	60 (13.0)	402 (87.0)	n.a.				
No	0	5 (100.0)					
Recapping needles after injection							
Double-handed	32 (11.9)	237 (87.5)	0.225				
Single-handed	44 (17.1)	213 (82.9)					
No	3 (12.5)	21 (87.5)					
* *	- \/	()					

PDR, People's Democratic Republic; NSI, needle stick and sharps injuries; n.a., not applicable

inges, and sharp equipment at worksite (p = 0.042; odds ratio [OR], 0.47) and the attendance to educational class about NSIs (p = 0.038; OR, 0.50), respectively as shown in Table 2.

#### Discussion

The study showed that the prevalence of NSIs in the past 6 months was 11.4% among tertiary hospital staff in Lao PDR, fewer NSIs than some other low-resource setting studies, 17.5% of needlestick injury and 13.5% of sharp injuries in Ethiopia<sup>20</sup>, and 45% of NSI in past 12 months in the Democratic Republic of Congo<sup>13</sup>. Regression analysis demonstrated that the provision of adequate sharp equipment and educational or refresher classes on NSIs will reduce NSIs among nurses with limited resources.

Logistic regression showed that a lack of educational classes on NSI was significantly associated with the occurrence of NSIs among nurses (OR, 0.50). Among nurse participants of this study, 41% (152/396) had attended educational class on NSIs. To prevent NSIs, educational classes should focus on a variety of topics related to NSIs (e.g., universal precautions, blood borne pathogen transmission, an incident reporting system, and prophylactic blood borne pathogen testing after NSIs). One previous study from Japan demonstrated that educational classes on NSIs with a participatory approach triggered active participation among nurses by sharing good examples at their own worksites<sup>21)</sup>. The educational classes would convince them of the importance of NSI prevention and help them understand the necessity of preventive and protective methods such as post-exposure prophylaxis safetyengineered devices<sup>21)</sup>.

Among the non-medical staff, 15.0% of cleaners experienced NSIs in the past 6 months in this study. Previous studies also reported that NSIs among auxiliary staff including nursing assistants and cleaners (55.5%)<sup>22)</sup>, environmental workers (19.0%)<sup>23)</sup> and housekeepers (5.6%)<sup>24)</sup>. These results should grow our concerns on NSIs among non-medical staff. There may be two possible explanations: cleaners have less knowledge on NSIs and cleaners pay less attention on Universal Precaution (UP). These explanations may endorse anecdotes that some cleaners collected infusion fluid plastic bags and so on in the hospitals for recycling. The educational classes should preferably be widened to cover not only nurses and other HCWs, but also administrators and cleaners.

Safety guidelines in many countries that recommend not recapping needles for NSI prevention assumes compliance by healthcare staff and adequate high-quality facilities and equipment <sup>1,25</sup>. Several studies demonstrated significant associations between recapping needles and the occurrence of NSIs, for example, 3.7 times in Rumania <sup>26</sup> and 1.8 times in Uganda <sup>11</sup>. The Lao PDR govern-

584 *J Occup Health, Vol. 59, 2017* 

**Table 2.** Risk factors of predicting needlestick and sharps injuries in the past 6 months among nurses at the four tertiary hospitals in Vientiane, Lao PDR (2006 study) (n=467)

	В	S.E.	Adjusted odds ratio [95% CI]
Adequate number of needles, syringes and sharps equipment	-0.76	0.37	0.47* [0.23-0.97]
Attendance to educational class about NSIs		0.34	0.50* [0.26-0.96]

PDR, People's Democratic Republic; NSI, needle stick and sharps injuries

Independent variables entered: gender, age, work career, awareness to universal precaution, attendance to educational class about NSIs, familiar with NSIs reporting system, written protocol at worksite, adequate number of needles, syringes, and sharps equipment at worksite adequate protective equipment at worksite (gloves, masks, and gowns), working hours per week, work night shifts, number of injections per week, recapping needles after injection

Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>=0.04 for the best model obtained by backward elimination (Wald); \*p-value <0.05

ment has also recommended needle disposal management without recapping in its national policy. However, 95% of the participants reported they still recap needles, mainly due to a shortage of quality medical waste containers and incinerators. Inadequate supply is an issue that still needs to be addressed in many developing countries<sup>20)</sup>.

Recapping needles did not show a significant association with NSIs in this study. It has been suggested that NSIs control management effectiveness at study sites was attributable to hands-on practices at worksites (e.g., single-handed practice) with limited resources. A total of 46.7% of participants answered that they used a singlehanded recapping procedure, which might be safer than double-handed procedure. Medical waste boxes at all worksites except for immunization sites were empty plastic water boxes or soft drink bottles to reduce risks of infection in communities and dump sites. HCWs discarded their recapped needles into the plastic bottles, as nonrecapped needles penetrate the plastic bottles. These countermeasures widely taken under limited resources should be highly evaluated and may be shared with other resource-limited countries.

Needles, syringes, and other sharp equipment require proper maintenance. For example, sterilized equipment was often old and blunt, making injections and other surgical procedures a bit more difficult and increasing the risk of NSIs. There is a limit to NSI prevention by on-site efforts only, and prompt improvement in quality equipment provision is necessary for taking elaborate precautions and preventing NSIs, which would make participants' experience fewer NSIs.

This study showed that 41.9% of NSIs happened morning time from 8:00 to 12:00 hours. Similar results were reported from Japan that medical doctors and nurses respectively got NSIs 39.7% and 30.3% from 8:00 to 12:00 hours<sup>27)</sup>. This may be explained by the lack of HCWs' concentration because of their excess workload in the morning. Generally, many of the hospital tasks such as diagnosis, laboratory test, operations and treatment occur in the morning. NSIs will be reduced if hospitals could ad-

just the work process so that the amount of HCWs' tasks in the morning will be reduced accordingly.

This study has some limitations. First, since this was a cross-sectional study, causal relationships could not be identified. Second, since the data were self-reported responses, we cannot exclude the possibility of recall bias. Future studies would be preferable as Laos Government accelerates to secure HCWs, such as embarking a national NSI databank to capture the prevalence of NSIs precisely and a fund to compensate HCWs' accidents.

In conclusion, this study served to quantify the burden of NSIs among tertiary hospitals in Lao PDR. Results of this study provide information for policymakers and suggest the need for proper educational or refresher classes on NSIs to reduce the potential burden of hepatitis, HIV or other bloodborne infectious diseases among hospital workers in Lao PDR.

Although on-site practices were widely prevalent for NSI prevention, educational classes on NSIs must be broadened to reach more nurses as well as cleaners showing high NSI prevalence, in an effort to transfer hands-on techniques such as single-handed recapping of needles together with the provision of sharp equipment in quantity and quality to further strengthen NSI precautions and prevention for HCWs in tertiary hospitals in Lao PDR.

*Disclaimer:* This report contains the collective views of an international group of experts, and does not necessarily represent the decisions or the stated policy of the World Health Organization.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

## References

- 1) World Health Organization. WHO guideline on the use of safety-engineered syringes for intramuscular, intradermal and subcutaneous injections in health-care settings. 2015.
- Wu HC, Ho JJ, Lin MH, Chen CJ, Guo YL, Shiao JS. Incidence of percutaneous injury in Taiwan healthcare workers.

- Epidemiol Infect 2015; 143: 3308-3315.
- Lee R. Occupational transmission of bloodborne diseases to healthcare workers in developing countries: meeting the challenges. J Hosp Infect 2009; 72: 285-291.
- Deuffic-Burban S, Delarocque-Astagneau E, Abiteboul D, Bouvet E, Yazdanpanah Y. Blood-borne viruses in health care workers: prevention and management. J Clin Virol 2011; 52: 4-10.
- 5) Cardo DM, Culver DH, Ciesielski CA, et al. A case-control study of HIV seroconversion in health care workers after percutaneous exposure. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Needlestick Surveillance Group. N Engl J Med 1997; 337: 1485-1490.
- Sepkowitz KA. Occupationally acquired infections in health care workers. Part II. Ann Intern Med 1996; 125: 917-928.
- Udasin IG, Gochfeld M. Implications of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's bloodborne pathogen standard for the occupational health professional. J Occup Med 1994; 36: 548-555.
- World Health Organization. The world health report 2002: reducing risks, promoting healthy life. World Health Organization; 2002.
- Phillips EK, Conaway MR, Jagger JC. Percutaneous injuries before and after the Needlestick Safety and Prevention Act. N Engl J Med 2012; 366: 670-671.
- 10) Jagger J, Perry J, Gomaa A, Phillips EK. The impact of U.S. policies to protect healthcare workers from bloodborne pathogens: the critical role of safety-engineered devices. J Infect Public Health 2008; 1: 62-71.
- 11) Nsubuga FM, Jaakkola MS. Needle stick injuries among nurses in sub-Saharan Africa. Trop Med Int Health 2005; 10: 773-781.
- 12) Gershon RR, Flanagan PA, Karkashian C, et al. Health care workers' experience with postexposure management of bloodborne pathogen exposures: a pilot study. Am J Infect Control 2000; 28: 421-428.
- 13) Ngatu NR, Phillips EK, Wembonyama OS, et al. Practice of universal precautions and risk of occupational blood-borne viral infection among Congolese health care workers. Am J Infect Control 2012; 40: 68-70.
- 14) Tarantola A, Koumare A, Rachline A, et al. A descriptive, retrospective study of 567 accidental blood exposures in health-care workers in three West African countries. J Hosp Infect 2005; 60: 276-282.
- 15) Kuroiwa C, Suzuki A, Yamaji Y, Miyoshi M. Hidden reality on the introduction of auto-disable syringes in developing countries. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2004; 35: 1019-1023.

- 16) Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), System Science Consultants Inc. Information gathering/comfirmation survey on health care in Lao PDR (Final Report) (in Japanese). 2016; 15-003.
- 17) World Health Organization, Regional Office for the Western Pacific (WPRO). WHO Country Cooperation Strategy for the Lao People's Democratic Republic 2012-2015. [Online]. 2011 [cited 2017 Jun. 28]; Available from: URL: http://www.wpro. who.int/laos/publications/country\_cooperation\_lao\_2012-201 5/en/
- 18) Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA). Mid-term evaluation report on Sethathirath Hospital improvement project in Lao PDR. 2002; 02-11.
- World Health Organization. Injection practices: rapid assessment and response guide. 2002.
- 20) Reda AA, Fisseha S, Mengistie B, Vandeweerd JM. Standard precautions: occupational exposure and behavior of health care workers in Ethiopia. PLoS One 2010; 5: e14420.
- 21) Yoshikawa T, Ito A, Sakai K, Kogi K. How to design a practical action checklist for preventing needlestick injuries among health care workers. J UOEH 2006; 28: 63-68.
- 22) Tabak N, Shiaabana AM, Shasha S. The health beliefs of hospital staff and the reporting of needlestick injury. J Clin Nurs 2006; 15: 1228-1239.
- 23) Khuri-Bulos NA, Toukan A, Mahafzah A, et al. Epidemiology of needlestick and sharp injuries at a university hospital in a developing country: a 3-year prospective study at the Jordan University Hospital, 1993 through 1995. Am J Infect Control 1997; 25: 322-329.
- 24) Oh HS, Yi SE, Choe KW. Epidemiological characteristics of occupational blood exposures of healthcare workers in a university hospital in South Korea for 10 years. J Hosp Infect 2005; 60: 269-275.
- 25) European Agency for Safety and Health at Work. Directive 2010/32/EU - prevention from sharp injuries in the hospital and healthcare sector. 10 May 2010.
- 26) Dentinger C, Pasat L, Popa M, Hutin YJ, Mast EE. Injection practices in Romania: progress and challenges. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2004; 25: 30-35.
- 27) Fund for Local Government Employees' Accident Compensation. Training Handbook on prevention for needlestick injuries in hospitals and other healthcare settings. 2002.

Journal of Occupational Health is an Open Access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view the details of this license, please visit (https://creative-commons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).